arXiv:1610.08368v2 [gr-qc] 31 Oct 2016

Cosmological aspects of a unified dark energy and dust dark matter model

Denitsa Staicova
Michail Stoilov
Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

Abstract

Recently, a model of modified gravity plus single scalar field model was proposed, in which the scalar couples both to the standard Riemannian volume form given by the square root of the determinant of the Riemannian metric, as well as to another non-Riemannian volume form given in terms of an auxiliary maximal rank antisymmetric tensor gauge field. This model provides an exact unified description of both dark energy (via dynamically generated cosmological constant) and dark matter (as a dust fluid due to a hidden nonlinear Noether symmetry).

In this paper we test the model against Supernovae type Ia experimental data and investigate the future Universe evolution which follows from it. Our results show that this model has very interesting features allowing various scenarios of Universe evolution and in the same time perfectly fits contemporary observational data. It can describe exponentially expanding or finite expanding Universe and moreover, a Universe with phase transition of first kind. The phase transition occurs to a new, emerging at some time ground state with lower energy density, which affects significantly the Universe evolution.

1 Introduction

One of the big mysteries of modern cosmology ([1]) is how to unite the period of inflation after the Big Bang (invoked to explain the isotropy of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB), the large-scale structures of the Universe, the flatness problem and the horizon problem) and the current period of accelerated expansion confirmed by multiple experiments (Planck [2], SNe Ia [3, 4], the HST key project [5], the Sloan Digital Sky Survey [6], WMAP [7], large-scale galaxy formations [8]).

Another problem is to explain the contemporary matter content of the Universe. According to the Λ-CDM model (which can be considered as the Standard Model in cosmology) at the moment the astonishing 95% of matter is of unknown type: it is dominated by "dark energy" (or, equivalently — cosmological constant Λ) and fewer "cold dark matter". Dark energy and cold dark matter are just terms to specify, first, the energy-momentum tensor form of the corresponding matter, second, their scaling properties under Universe expansion and third, the fact that they do not interact electromagnetically, i.e. they are not observable. The Λ-CDM model is very successful in explaining astronomical observations, but it fails to explain the nature of dark energy and cold dark matter (for example, see [9]). While there are numerous theories of modified gravity such as the f(R) theory, the Brans-Dicke theory, the dilaton theory etc. each trying to provide a deeper understanding to the dark-content of the Universe, for the moment none of them has proven more successful than the Λ-CDM model.

Here we work in the framework of so called "two-measures theory of gravity" which can address both of above mentioned problems. The two-measures theory of gravity has been first proposed in the series of works [10]. The essence of the model is that in it the scalar Lagrangian couples both to the standard Riemannian volume-form, as well as to another non-Riemannian volume form given in terms of an auxiliary maximal-rank antisymmetric tensor gauge field.

Various applications to cosmology of the two-measures theory have been furthermore studied in [11, 12] and have shown some remarkable results: it generates dynamically a cosmological constant, it gives a natural formulation of a quintessential inflation scenario in cosmology, it is able to produce two flat regions evolution or a non-singular initial emergent universe phase preceding the inflationary phase (giving a new mechanism of dynamical spontaneous breakdown of supersymmetry in supergravity as well).

Explicitly, in [11] the model was extended to include *two* independent non-Riemannian volume forms on the spacetime manifold with the inflation scalar fields potentials of exponential form. This choice led to a gravity-matter system invariant under global Weyl-scale symmetry and as a consequence of the equations of motion, to two dimensionful integration constants breaking global Weyl-scale invariance. Additionally, the numerical integration of the Friedman equations demonstrated two infinitely large flat regions corresponding to the two different epochs of expansion of the Universe – the early inflation and the current accelerated expansion. In [12] an R^2 term has been included in the model gravitational action, with appropriate field potentials, so that the action is again invariant under global Weyl-scale symmetry and it was shown that one can still obtain the two flat regions, but also for some parameter ranges the model produces a non-singular emergent universe solution describing a Universe without a Big Bang. Also, in this case, it was shown that the model agrees with the Planck Collaboration data for some choices of the two integration constants. Other interesting developments include [13] where it was applied to produce phase structure of confinement and deconfinement related to that of MIT bag model of hadrons. In [14] the model is further extended to include gravity coupled both to the scalar inflaton, and also to a SU(2)xU(1) iso-doublet scalar with positive mass squared and without self-interaction, and to SU(2)xU(1) gauge fields. In this case it is shown how the electroweak symmetry breaking Higg phase is dynamically generated in the post-inflationary epoch.

In this article, we study numerically the model proposed in [15],[16]. We apply it to the Universe evolution, finding that it can describe (depending on the parameters) exponentially expanding or finite expanding Universe. Moreover, we find that the model can describe a Universe with phase transition of first kind. Finally we show our first numerical results for the model fit against Supernovae Ia observational data.

2 The unified dark energy and dust dark matter model [15, 16]

In the simplest case of the two-measures theory, one uses the following action S:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S = S_{grav}[g_{\mu\nu}, \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}] + \int d^4x(\sqrt{-g} + \Phi(B))L(\phi, X) \\
& \Phi(B) = \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda}\partial_\mu B_{\nu\kappa\lambda} \\
& L(\phi, X) = X - V(\phi), \quad X = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi.
\end{aligned} \tag{1}
$$

Here, the standard Riemannian volume form is $\sqrt{(-g)}$ while the non-Riemannian volume form $\Phi(B)$ is defined by an auxiliary antisymmetric gauge field of maximal rank $B_{\nu\kappa\lambda}$. $L(\phi, X)$ is the general-coordinate invariant Lagrangian of a scalar field ϕ with a standard kinetic term X, which is symmetrically coupled to both measures and S_{grav} is in Palatini formalism.

From the equation of motion for B field we have $L(\phi, X) = -2M$ where M is an integration constant. The interpretation of M as a dynamically generated cosmological constant can be understood easily from the form of the energy-momentum tensor of the model (1):

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{\mu\nu} &= -2Mg_{\mu\nu} + \left(1 + \frac{(\Phi(B)}{\sqrt{(-g)}}\right)\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi \\
&= -2Mg_{\mu\nu} + \rho_0 u_\mu u_\nu,
\end{aligned} \tag{2}
$$

where $u_\mu = -\frac{\partial_\mu\phi}{\sqrt{2X}}$ and $\rho_0 = \left(1 + \frac{(\Phi(B)}{\sqrt{(-g)}}\right)2X\frac{\partial L}{\partial X}$. Therefore, we can interpret $T_{\mu\nu}$ as a sum of dark energy and dust contribution with $\rho_{DE} = 2M$.

An important feature of the model is that it possesses an additional (hidden) Noether symmetry.

In what follows we consider a model for which the gravitational part S_{grav} of the action (1) has the following $f(R)$ form[1]:

$$
S_{grav} = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}(R(g, \Gamma) - \alpha R^2(g, \Gamma)) \tag{3}
$$

[1]In this section, we are using units where the Newton constant is $16\pi G_N = 1$

It has been proven in [16] that this gravitational action is equivalent in the Einstein frame to the following purely kinetic k-essence [17] action with suitably conformally rescaled metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$

$$S_k = \int d^4x\sqrt{-\bar{g}}\left[\bar{R} + \left(\frac{1}{4\alpha} - 2M\right)\tilde{X}^2 - \frac{1}{2\alpha}\tilde{X} + \frac{1}{4\alpha}\right] \tag{4}$$

where $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = f'_R\, g_{\mu\nu}$, $f'_R = 1 - 2\alpha R$ and $\tilde{X} = 1/f'_R$.

3 The model in Friedman–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker metric

We are working in the so called reduced-circumference polar coordinates, in flat Universe. In this case the metric can be put in the following form:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + A(t)^2\left[dr^2 + r^2\left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2\right)\right]. \tag{5}$$

In the Einstein frame, the Lagrangian is:

$$\mathcal{L} = A(t)\left[-\dot{A}(t)^2 + \frac{1}{4}A(t)^2\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{\alpha} + (\frac{1}{4\alpha} - 2M)\dot{\phi}^4\right)\right] \tag{6}$$

The solution of the equation of motion with respect to the field ϕ is:

$$A(t)^3\left[-\frac{1}{2\alpha}\dot{\phi} + (\frac{1}{4\alpha} - 2M)\dot{\phi}^3\right] = p_\phi \;\; (= \text{const}) \tag{7}$$

We obtain the following energy density

$$\rho = \frac{1}{8\alpha}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{3}{4}\frac{p_\phi}{A(t)^3}\dot{\phi} - \frac{1}{4\alpha} \tag{8}$$

Let us recall the first Friedman equation [2] ($G_{00} = T_{00}$):

$$\left(\frac{\dot{A}(t)}{A(t)}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{6}\rho. \tag{9}$$

[2] In what follows we shall use only the first Friedman equation, because we have Eq.(7) which is the equation of state for the model.

We can put Eq.(7) in the form

$$y^3 + 3\mathbf{a}y + 2\mathbf{b} = 0 \tag{10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \dot{\phi} \\ \mathbf{a} &= -\frac{2}{3 - 24\alpha M} \\ \mathbf{b} &= \frac{b}{A(t)^3} = -\frac{2\alpha p_\phi}{(1 - 8\alpha M)A(t)^3}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Eq.(10) is a cubic equation with real coefficients and therefore, there is always a real solution for every $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. All three solutions of eq.(10) are:

$$y_i = \left(\sqrt[3]{-1}\right)_i \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathcal{A}} - \overline{\left(\sqrt[3]{-1}\right)}_i \mathcal{A}; \;\; i = 1, 2, 3 \tag{12}$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \sqrt[3]{-\mathbf{b} + \sqrt{\mathbf{a}^3 + \mathbf{b}^2}}$ and $\left(\sqrt[3]{-1}\right)_i$ is one of the three roots of $\sqrt[3]{-1}$ $\left(= -1, \frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2} \text{ and } \frac{1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)$.

The solution y_1 is real in the domain $\{\mathbf{a} \geq 0\} \cup \{\mathbf{a} < 0 \cap \mathbf{b} < 0\} \cup \{\mathbf{a} < 0 \cap \mathbf{b} > 0 \cap \mathbf{a}^3 + \mathbf{b}^2 < 0\}$, y_2 is real in the domain $\{\mathbf{a} < 0 \cap \mathbf{b} > 0\} \cup \{\mathbf{a} < 0 \cap \mathbf{b} < 0 \cap \mathbf{a}^3 + \mathbf{b}^2 < 0\}$ and y_3 is real in the domain $\{\mathbf{a} < 0 \cap \mathbf{a}^3 + \mathbf{b}^2 < 0\}$. It is easy to see that there is no smooth real solution in the entire $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ plane. Therefore,we may have to jump from one solution of Eq.(10) to another during the Universe evolution.

Here we are interested in of Universe evolution such that at Big Bang ($t = t_{BB} < 1$) we have for the metric scaling factor $A(t_{BB}) = 0$, and at present epoch ($t = 1$) we have $A(1) = 1$. On the $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ plane the evolution looks like a movement along a half line $\mathbf{a} = \text{const.}$: It starts at $(\mathbf{a}, \pm\infty)$ depending on the sign of b, goes through the point $(\mathbf{a}, b)$ and heads to $(\mathbf{a}, 0)$. The solutions of Eq.(10) which are compatible with the early Universe are y_1 for $\{\mathbf{a} \geq 0\} \cup \{\mathbf{a} < 0 \cap \mathbf{b} < 0\}$ and y_2 for $\{\mathbf{a} < 0 \cap \mathbf{b} > 0\}$. So we define a solution y_b:

$$y_b = \begin{cases} y_1 \;\; \text{for} \;\; (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \{\mathbf{a} \geq 0\} \cup \{\mathbf{a} < 0 \cap \mathbf{b} < 0\} \\ y_2 \;\; \text{for} \;\; (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \in \{\mathbf{a} < 0 \cap \mathbf{b} > 0\} \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

It is a real solution in the entire $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ plane which is smooth except on the half line $(\mathbf{a} < 0, 0)$ where it has a jump. Note however, that this half line is

never crossed during the Universe evolution. This is the solution we use in our further considerations unless otherwise explicitly stated.

It is convenient to rewrite the equation of state (8) in terms of notations (11):

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4|\alpha|}\bar{\rho} = \frac{1}{4\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{2}y^2 + \frac{\mathbf{b}}{\mathbf{a}}y - 1\right) \tag{14}$$

Note that rescaling the time we can ensure [3] $2|\alpha|/3 = 1$, so the Friedman equation (9) takes the form

$$\left(\frac{\dot{A}(t)}{A(t)}\right)^2 = \bar{\rho} \tag{15}$$

Substituting solution (13) into (14) we see that not all combinations of constants $\alpha, \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ are physically acceptable, namely the energy density is non-negative only at domain[4] $\{\alpha > 0 \cap \mathbf{a} < 0\} \cup \{\alpha < 0 \cap \mathbf{a} \geq 0\}$. So, in what follows, when we discuss $\mathbf{a} < 0$ solution we shall understand, without mentioned it explicitly, that simultaneously $\alpha > 0$. Similarly when we discuss $\mathbf{a} > 0$ solution we understand that $\alpha < 0$.

The density $\bar{\rho}$ has a well defined asymptotic value for large A(t)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho} &\xrightarrow[A(t)\to\infty]{} 1 \text{ for } \mathbf{a} > 0 \\ \bar{\rho} &\xrightarrow[A(t)\to\infty]{} -\frac{3}{2}\mathbf{a} - 1 \text{ for } \mathbf{a} < 0 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

which can be interpreted as asymptotic value of the cosmological constant.

We integrate numerically the Friedman Eq.(15). The results for the scale factor $A(t)$ evolution are shown on Fig.(1). [5]

Curve (a) is typical for $\mathbf{a} > 0$. The initial matter dominated deceleration and the asymptotic dark energy induced exponential growth $A(t) \sim e^t$ are well visible.

Curve (b) is typical for $\mathbf{a} < 0$ case. Note that according eqs.(16) its final exponential growth can be any (slower or faster than the growth in $\mathbf{a} > 0$ case). Here we show a curve with $\Lambda_{asymptotic} < 1$.

[3] $|\alpha|$ eventually goes into Hubble constant.

[4] There is a sub-region $\mathbf{a} \in (-2/3, 0)$ of special interest which will be discussed later.

[5] The values of the parameters for each plot are as follows: (a) $\mathbf{a} = 1, \mathbf{b} = \frac{6}{A(t)^3}$, (b) $\mathbf{a} = -1, \mathbf{b} = -\frac{2}{A(t)^3}$, (c)$\mathbf{a} = -.5, \mathbf{b} = -\frac{0.5}{A(t)^3}$ and (b_2): $t_p = 1.5074, a_s(t_p) = 2.0825$



Figure 1: Graphics of the $A(t)$ evolution for $\mathbf{a} > 0$ (a), $\mathbf{a} < -2/3$ (b) and $-2/3 < \mathbf{a} < 0$ (c). Possible evolutions after phase transition: frozen expansion (b_1) and re-acceleration (b_2). Note that different values of the parameters change also the moment of the Big Bang, i.e. they predict different age of the Universe.

A peculiar property of $\mathbf{a} < 0$ evolution is that at some moment t_3:

$$t_3: \quad A(t_3) = \frac{\sqrt[3]{|b|}}{\sqrt[2]{|\mathbf{a}|}} \tag{17}$$

we enter a region of $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ plane where all three solutions y_1, y_2 and y_3 are real. Let us define an additional solution in this region, which we call y_s:

$$y_s = \begin{cases} y_1 & \text{for} \quad b > 0 \\ y_2 & \text{for} \quad b < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we can use as independent real solutions y_b (our basic solution), y_s and y_3.

It turns out that solution y_3 is nonphysical, because the energy density which corresponds to it is always negative. We denote $\bar{\bar{\rho}}$ the density which corresponds to solution y_s. Note that $\bar{\bar{\rho}}(t_3) < 0$, but because the $\bar{\bar{\rho}}$ asymptotic for large $A(t)$ is the same as that of $\bar{\rho}$ (which is positive) there is a moment t_p, such that $\bar{\bar{\rho}}(A(t_p)) = 0$. Therefore, for any moment after t_p we have two "states" $\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{\bar{\rho}}$ of the Universe

$$0 \leq \bar{\bar{\rho}} < \bar{\rho} \quad \text{for} \quad t \geq t_p. \tag{18}$$

This opens the possibility the Universe to undergo "phase transition" or "quenching" to the lower state. The moment of the phase transition is crucial

for the further evolution: If it happens exactly at time t_p the evolution stops ($\bar{\bar{\rho}} = 0$) — this is curve (b_1) on Fig.(1). However, if the jump occurs in any later moment then the evolution will look like at curve (b_2). Numerically, this corresponds to using the following boundary conditions: $a_b(1) = 1, a_s(t_p) = a_b(t_p)$, where the indices b and s refer to the using y_b or y_s in the integration of the Friedman equations.

It has been mentioned earlier that there is an interesting sub-region $\mathbf{a} \in (-2/3, 0)$. In this region the early Universe asymptotic value of energy density is positive, but the late Universe asymptotic value is negative. This has not to be considered as nonphysical. Suppose there is a value A_0 of the metric scale factor such that $\bar{\rho}(A_0) = 0$. It is easy to see, using Friedman equation (15), that when $\bar{\rho}$ approaches 0 from above $A(t)$ tends to a constant:

$$A(t) \sim \tanh(t)^2 \tag{19}$$

The Universe never enter the region where $\bar{\rho}$ becomes negative. As a consequence, the region $\mathbf{a} \in (-2/3, 0)$ corresponds to a Universe with finite expansion — curve (c) on Fig.(1).

4 Supernovae Ia data fit

Our primary task in this work is to check the model [16] consistency with some of the present observational data. For this purpose we perform a fit on the data collected by Supernova Cosmology Project [18][6]. At the moment the SCP collection contains 580 Supernovae of type Ia with their distance modulus μ, [7] its experimental uncertainty $\Delta\mu$ and observed red shift z. Surely, we have to compare our fit with the existing results and to check our optimization procedure, so we created a reference fit of the same data using an *ad hoc* mixture of dark energy and (dark) matter (Standard Cosmological Model). Note that we use only basic data provided by SCP, both for μ and $\Delta\mu$ without taking into account any possible additional systematic errors and without performing subsequent filtering of SN Ia which resides away from the fit confidential interval.

[6] Supernovae data are available online at:
http://www.supernova.lbl.gov/Union/figuresSCPUnion2.1_mu_vs_z.txt

[7] The distance modulus μ is a dimensionless quantity for distance $\mu = 5\log_{10}\left(\frac{d}{10}\right)$ where d is in parsecs. It is the difference between apparent and absolute star magnitude.

There is a relatively simple connection between distance modulus of a star and its red shift (in FLRW metric):

$$\begin{aligned}\mu &= 5\log_{10}\left((1+z)\int_0^z dx\frac{A(x)}{A(x)'}\right) = \\ & h + 5\log_{10}\left((1+z)\int_0^z dx\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\rho}(x)}}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where h is some constant. We have used Friedman equation (15) to obtain the second line of Eq.(20) and $A(t) = \frac{1}{1+z}$ to rewrite the energy density in terms of red shift.

The contemporary experimental data quite surely exclude curvature and radiation from matter content of the Universe leaving room only for dark energy and cold matter. When we have only these two ingredients the integral in Eq.(20) can be take analytically in terms of Hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$. We use this analytic expression for our reference fit which gives the following result:

$$\Omega_{DE} = 0.722, \;\; \Omega_M = 0.278, \;\; \chi^2 = 562. \tag{21}$$

It has to be compared to $\Omega_M = 0.277^{+0.022}_{-0.021}$ result of SN fit without systematic listed in Table 7 of [18]. So we find, that our optimization procedure based on the simplex method, is adequate to the considered problem.

We use numerical integration of the integral in Eq.(20) when we test the model [16]. It turns out that the best fit of Supernovae data for the proposed model is not unique. We observe two one-parametric families of best fits, shown on Fig.(2) as two curves on the model parameters plane. Any point $(\mathbf{a}, b)$ on each curve gives approximately the same χ^2 and indistinguishable $\mu(z)$ function. Our result is:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^2 &\sim 562 \;\text{ for }\; \mathbf{a} < -2/3, \\ \chi^2 &\sim 578 \;\text{ for }\; \mathbf{a} > 1. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

We observe a small variation of the χ^2 in the interval $\mathbf{a} \in (0, 1)$ where χ^2 slightly decreases when $\mathbf{a} \to 0$ ($\chi^2 \sim 568$ for $\mathbf{a} = .18$), but this could be just a numerical artifact. Both families have χ^2 very close to that of Standard model (Eq.(21)), even the one with $\mathbf{a} < -2/3$ has χ^2 with a fraction of unit better. Note that there is no good fit for $\mathbf{a} \in (-2/3, 0)$ (finite expansion Universe).

The question what sands behind the observed families of best fit solutions has not clear answer. For the family $\mathbf{a} < -2/3$ the constant h in Eq.(20) also depends on the family parameter (we can use b as such), so the existence of the family may reflect the fact that we have not fixed the time scale. However, this is not the case with the $\mathbf{a} > 0$ family, where h practically does not depend on b.



Figure 2: Best fit families on the parametric plane.

Our best fit $\mu(z)$ functions are shown on Fig.(3) against Supernovae data and standard fit (21)). All curves are very close to each other and for large interval of z overlap.



Figure 3: Supernovae data against Standard model fit (dotted line), $\mathbf{a} < -2/3$ fit (dashed line) and $\mathbf{a} > 1$ fit (solid line).

5 Conclusions

In this article, we have examined the applications of the k-essence theory to cosmology. By studying the domains of validity of the theory parameters $[\mathbf{a}, b]$ and the energy density dependency on them, we have been able to obtain both Universes with and without phase transition. We have used the latest SN Ia data to fit the model. We have obtained two one-parametric families of solutions, each fitting the observations, showing that the choice of values for $[\mathbf{a}, b]$ is not unique. The obtained fits are with χ^2 same as that given by Λ-CDM model while at the same time we have huge parameter flexibility allowing additional model fine tuning. The model allows a phase transition from evolution which is compatible with SN Ia data to occur after our epoch, thus the scenarios for the further evolution of our Universe are still open. An analogous phase transition mechanism can be used to explain the transition from inflation to matter dominated epoch of the Universe.

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva for the discussions.

The work is supported by BAS contract DFNP – 49/21.04.2016. MS also acknowledge the support of BNSF under grant DFNI-T 02/6.

References

[1] L. Marochnik (2016) *Dark Energy and Inflation in a Gravitational Wave Dominated Universe* Gravitation and Cosmology, **22**, 10, 2016, arXiv:1508.07312 [physics.gen-ph]

[2] Planck Collaboration, *Planck 2015 results. XIII. Cosmological parameters*, A&A **594**, A13 (2016)

[3] S. Perlmutter et al. (1999), Astrophys. J. **517**, 565 (1999)

[4] A.G. Riess et al. (1998), Astron. J. **116**, 1009 (1998) and **117**, 707 (1999)

[5] A.G. Riess et al., Astrophys. J. **607**, 665 (2004)

[6] D.J. Eisenstein et al., Astrophys. J. **633**, 560 (2005)

[7] D. N. Spergel et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148**, 175, (2003) and astro-ph/0603449, S. Cole et al., MNRAS bf 362, 505 (2005)

[8] R. Trotta and R. Bower, Astron. Geophys. **47** :4:20-4:27, (2006)

[9] J-Q Xia et al., *Probing Inflation and Dark Energy with Current Cosmological Observations* JCAP0609:015,2006

[10] E.I. Guendelman, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **14** 1043-1052 (1999), arXiv:gr-qc/9901017;
E. Guendelman and A. Kaganovich, Phys. Rev. D **60** 065004 (1999), arXiv:gr- qc/9905029;
E. Guendelman and O. Katz, Class. Quantum Grav. **20** 1715-1728 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0211095

[11] E.I. Guendelman, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Unification of Inflation and Dark Energy from Spontaneous Breaking of Scale Invariance*, "Eight Mathematical Physics Meeting", pp.93-103, B. Dragovic and I. Salom (eds.), Belgrade Inst. Phys. Press (2015) **10**, arXiv:1407.6281 [hep-th]

[12] E. Guendelman, E. Nissimov, S. Pacheva, *Metric-Independent Volume-Forms in Gravity and Cosmology*, Invited talk at the Memorial "Matey Mateev Symposium", April 2015, Bulgarian Journal of Physics, **42** (2015), arXiv:1505.07680 [gr-qc];
E. Guendelman, R. Herrera, P. Labrana, E. Nissimov, S. Pacheva, *Stable Emergent Universe – A Creation without Big-Bang*, Astronomische Nachrichten **336** (2015) 810-814, arXiv:1507.08878 [hep-th]
E. Guendelman, R. Herrera, P. Labrana, E. Nissimov, S. Pacheva, *Emergent Cosmology, Inflation and Dark Energy*, General Relativity and Gravitation **47** (2015) art.10, rXiv:1408.5344 [gr-qc]

[13] E. Guendelman, E. Nissimov, S. Pacheva, *Vacuum Structure and Gravitational Bags Produced by Metric-Independent Spacetime Volume-Form Dynamics*,International Journal of Modern Physics A **30** (2015) 1550133, arXiv:1504.01031 [gr-qc]

[14] E. Guendelman, E. Nissimov, S. Pacheva, *Gravity-Assisted Emergent Higgs Mechanism in the Post-Inflationary Epoch*, International Journal of Modern Physics D **25** (2016) 1644008, arXiv:1603.06231 [hep-th]

[15] E. Guendelman, E. Nissimov, S. Pacheva, *Dark Energy and Dark Matter From Hidden Symmetry of Gravity Model with a Non-Riemannian Volume Form*, European Physics Journal C **75** (2015) 472-479, arXiv:1508.02008 [gr-qc]

[16] E.I. Guendelman, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, *Unified dark energy and dust dark matter dual to quadratic purely kinetic k-essence*, Eur.Phys.J. C **76**:90 (2016), arXiv:1511.0707 [gr-qc]

[17] T. Chiba, T.Okabe and M. Yamaguchi (2000) *Phys. Rev.* **D62** 023511 (*arXiv:astro-ph/9912463*);
C. Armendariz-Picon, V. Mukhanov and P. Steinhardt (2000) *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** 4438 (*arXiv:astro-ph/0004134*);
C. Armendariz-Picon, V. Mukhanov and P. Steinhardt (2001) *Phys. Rev. D* **63** 103510 (*arXiv:astro-ph/0006373*);
T. Chiba (2002) *Phys. Rev. D* **66** 063514 (*arXiv:astro-ph/0206298*).

[18] Suzuki et al. (The Supernova Cosmology Project), *The Hubble Space Telescope Cluster Supernova Survey: V. Improving the Dark Energy Constraints Above $z > 1$ and Building an Early-Type-Hosted Supernova Sample*, ApJ **746**, 85 (2012)